

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	
Application No. 10/801,424	Applicant(s) HU ET AL.
Examiner DAVID M. BRUNSMAN	Art Unit 1732
<b>All Participants:</b>  (1) <u>DAVID M. BRUNSMAN</u> .  (2) <u>Charles Cross</u> .	
<b>Status of Application:</b> _____  (3) _____.  (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>16 August 2011</u>	Time: <u>11am</u>
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:	
<b>Part I.</b>  Rejection(s) discussed: <u>102</u>  Claims discussed: <u>all</u>  Prior art documents discussed: <u>M adon et al</u>	
<b>Part II.</b>  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Examiner called to suggest examiner's amendment to avoid potential rejection over Madon et al. Agreed that amendment placing composition in allowable condition could result in withdrawal of restriction requirement and allowance of claims</i>	
<b>Part III.</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
/DAVID M BRUNSMAN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1732	
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	